			1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2		EASTERN DIVISION	
3			
4	GRANT BIRCHMEIER, et a	al.,	Docket No. 12 C 4069
5		Plaintiffs,	
6	VS.	{	
7	CARIBBEAN CRUISE LINE, al.,	INC., et	Chicago, Illinois March 15, 2017
8	J. 1,) Defendants.	10:00 o'clock a.m.
9		jordinaireo. ,	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - MOTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW F. KENNELLY		
11	SEI GIAE IIIE	Honore BLE 17th HILM	
12	APPEARANCES:		
13			
14		LOEVY AND LOEVY BY: MR. SCOTT R.	RAUSCHER
15		311 North Aberdeen	Street, 3rd Floor
16		Chicago, IL 60607 (312) 243-5900	
17		EDELSON PC	
18		BY: MR. JAY EDELS	ON GLENN TIEVSKY
19			Street, Suite 1300
20		(312) 589-6370	
21			
22			
23		MS. CAROLYN R. COX Official Court Rep	C, CSR, RPR, CRR, FCRR
24		219 S. Dearborn St Chicago, Illinois	reet. Suite 2102
25		(312) 435-5639	0000 1

1 (The following proceedings were had in open court:) 2 THE CLERK: Case No. 12 C 4069, Birchmeier v. 3 Caribbean Cruise Line. 4 MR. NOVOSELSKY: Good morning, your Honor. Jon 5 Novoselsky, local counsel for objectors. 6 MR. O'MEARA: Good morning, your Honor. 7 O'Meara for the defendant the Berkley Group. 8 MR. BACKMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Jeffrey 9 Backman for Caribbean Cruise Line and Vacation Ownership 10 Marketing Tours. 11 THE COURT: You can just give everybody's name, 12 Mr. Edelson. 13 MR. EDELSON: Good morning, your Honor. Jay Edelson, 14 Alex Tievsky, and Scott Rauscher for the class. 15 THE COURT: So I've looked at the motion to 16 reconsider, the response by Freedom Home Care, the response by 17 the plaintiffs, and then the reply by the defendants. So 18 here's my question. So assuming I do what the defendants are 19 proposing that I do, which is that I take some sort of a 20 submission, and we will talk in a second informally in camera 21 in a way that only the class counsel and the defendants' 22 counsel are able to see, hear, or review it, for the purpose 23 of doing -- as I said I was going to do at whatever hearing it 24 was, I am blanking on the date -- deciding whether the 25 detailed settlement history is something that I should

1 consider -- so, in other words, deciding whether I'm going to 2 use it -- if the outcome of that is, yes, I decide that it's 3 relevant, then under the defendants' scenario, what would 4 happen and who would get to see it? 5 MR. BACKMAN: We would brief it first, your Honor. THE COURT: Brief what? 6 7 MR. BACKMAN: Whether or not you could compel 8 disclosure in contradiction to the agreement that was entered 9 into between the parties. 10 THE COURT: Isn't that what you did in here? You 11 want to file more briefs about that? 12 MR. BACKMAN: No, we haven't briefed the issue, your 13 Honor, quite frankly. Their response -- plaintiffs' response 14 yesterday interposed issues on paper of waiver, of public 15 policy, of all of these other bases that they contend warrant 16 breaching that agreement. We have not responded to that. We 17 don't think that's the issue for today. We are happy to brief 18 it preliminarily, Judge, before you do any --19 THE COURT: The issue for today is the thing that I 20 ordered to be done by tomorrow. Okay? That's the issue for 21 It's the thing that I ordered to be done for tomorrow. 22 It's due tomorrow, right? 23 MR. BACKMAN: Understood, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. And so -- and the hearing on which

I did this -- and I know that we're operating under some

25

relatively short deadlines here, but, you know, frankly, it was on the 27th of February, which was two weeks ago Monday, and I didn't get this motion to -- the motion to reconsider was teed up for either the day before or two days before the filing was due, which is not reasonable. It's basically what the Court of Appeals once told me in a case, you're trying to elbow your way into an extension.

MR. BACKMAN: That's not the case, your Honor. There was a reason why --

THE COURT: There's six pages -- six pages of your opening submission talk about the whole confidentiality agreement that you had with JAMS, J-A-M-S all capitals, and so that's been briefed, and so what hasn't been briefed?

MR. BACKMAN: Your Honor, the only issue that we were focused on reconsideration was to allow you to do exactly what it is that you said you wanted to do just with the exclusion of the one party to that proceeding that was not a party to the mediation.

THE COURT: Okay. So back to my question then. If I decide after I get this thing from you, whatever it is, that I think that it's relevant, that I should consider it, then what you're saying is then you want to file basically a full-blown reply to the plaintiffs' response?

MR. BACKMAN: Correct, your Honor. And that's what we talked about at the hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. And then what?

MR. BACKMAN: And then your Honor will decide several things: whether in fact you believe it's relevant material, because we --

THE COURT: At that point, I would have already decided that. At that point, I would have already decided that.

MR. BACKMAN: I don't want to tell you what you decided. From our perspective --

THE COURT: The whole point of you giving me this what I'll call the quick peek -- the whole point of giving me the quick peek is for me to figure out whether this is something that I actually think is relevant for my determination of the fee petition. If I decide that it is, then you want to brief the question of whether Mr. Bandas' client, Mr. Novoselsky's client get to see it, but the whole predicate for even doing that -- I am not going to do this in 15 stages. Okay? This is a four-and-a-half-year-old case. I am not going to do it in 15 stages, and I am exaggerating for effect, but you get my point.

MR. BACKMAN: I do.

THE COURT: At that point, I would have already decided that this is something that's relevant, and then we are talking about who gets to see it. I am not understanding what more would be briefed at that point.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fee petition.

MR. BACKMAN: I think the problem that we are having is the way this procedurally came up. They filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs, they made an argument, they cited to some matters to their expert. We came to the final approval hearing, and you asked some questions about whether or not you can ask for this. We then had a continuation hearing where you then entered the order that's at issue today. THE COURT: Right. MR. BACKMAN: We have yet to brief whether or not -they haven't filed a motion to compel the disclosure of this information, they haven't filed a motion to negate --THE COURT: Because I told you to do it. It didn't require a motion. You think a judge can't do something without a motion? MR. BACKMAN: I believe --THE COURT: Yes or no? That is a yes-or-no question. MR. BACKMAN: I believe you can, your Honor. THE COURT: And I did. I did. That is what I did on February the 27th. I ordered you to disclose it because in reading the very extensive briefs and rereading them and rereading them again, I concluded that this was something that was at least potentially relevant to my consideration of the

So we're not going to go back and do a bunch of

- do-overs. You had that opportunity. You did. You know, sometimes judges -- you have been in oral arguments on appeal. Sometimes judges ask questions that you don't anticipate. It doesn't mean you get to file an extra brief or an extra two briefs or an extra three briefs or maybe it's four or five that you're actually asking once we total them all up after the fact. You answer the question, the judge has made the ruling, and then you move on.
 - So basically, you're telling me that stage one should be I get the quick peek; stage two should be I tell you whether I want to consider it, we'll call that 1-A; then stage two will be you brief the confidentiality thing; and then stage three would be brief the relevance thing; and then stage four would then be brief what?
 - MR. BACKMAN: We are happy to do it before you take a quick peek.
 - THE COURT: No, I ordered this by tomorrow.
 - MR. BACKMAN: But, your Honor --
 - THE COURT: You waited -- you sat on your behind for nine days before filing this motion to reconsider. The motion to reconsider could have been filed the next day. The hearing was held and the order was given on the 27th of February. You filed this on the 7th of March. That was eight days.
 - MR. BACKMAN: Your Honor, we were prepared to file it earlier. There were --

1	THE COURT: Why didn't you?		
2	MR. BACKMAN: There were discussions with plaintiffs'		
3	counsel.		
4	THE COURT: You had an order from me. I don't care		
5	about your discussions with plaintiffs' counsel.		
6	MR. BACKMAN: We were trying to work out		
7	THE COURT: You don't have the privilege you do		
8	not have the privilege Mr. Backman, stop interrupting me.		
9	You do not have the privilege of unilaterally modifying orders		
10	that you're given by a court. You can move to reconsider.		
11	You don't need to talk to anybody about that.		
12	MR. BACKMAN: That's not what we talked to them		
13	about.		
14	THE COURT: Why did you wait eight days to file the		
15	motion?		
16	MR. BACKMAN: Your Honor, we were talking to them		
17	about trying to resolve the underlying fee petition issue, and		
18	we felt		
19	THE COURT: I don't care.		
20	MR. BACKMAN: Okay. I am just telling you.		
21	THE COURT: I don't care. You were under an order.		
22	MR. BACKMAN: We were prepared to file it.		
23	THE COURT: You were under an order.		
24	MR. BACKMAN: And we filed it prior. Your Honor, we		
25	are simply asking that Mr. Bandas be excluded from the quick		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

peek. If you'd rather take submissions on all of the issues that have yet to be briefed, which, quite candidly, at both hearings on February 23rd and February 27th, we asked you this, and you did say we would have the opportunity to brief it before --THE COURT: And now you're telling me you want the opportunity to brief more than that. You want the opportunity to brief whether Mr. Bandas gets to see it, you want the opportunity to brief whether it's relevant, which I've already -- we are already moving past that; and you want the opportunity to brief God knows what else; and then you want the opportunity to file a mandamus petition in the Court of It's Appeals, which is going to hold up the whole settlement. going to hold up argument. 15 MR. BACKMAN: We are happy to brief all the issues before the Court. THE COURT: No. The answer is no, unless you can do it by noon today. Can you do it by noon today? MR. BACKMAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Of course not. Right.

So if I were to say that I want you to submit to me in camera a joint -- we will just call it a joint report by the plaintiffs and the defendants that you don't have to agree on, there can be a plaintiffs' section and a defendants' section, that explains to me what I referred to before as the

1 blow by blow of the settlement, which you're not going to file 2 on CM/ECF, you are going to send me something in chambers, and 3 I am going to decide when and under what circumstances it gets 4 filed, how quickly can that be done, seeing as how I already 5 ordered you to file it by tomorrow? 6 Sure. I understand, your Honor. MR. BACKMAN: Ι 7 have to ask a preliminary question. Is Mr. Bandas going to be 8 entitled to see that? 9 THE COURT: You weren't paying attention. 10 MR. BACKMAN: Well, we are not going to do it. 11 THE COURT: You weren't paying attention. 12 said that the plaintiffs and the defendants are going to 13 jointly file something -- submit something to me in camera. 14 MR. BACKMAN: Okay. I am just clarifying that it's 15 just you, your Honor. Yes, we can do that by tomorrow. 16 THE COURT: Fine. 17 But there's just -- with what you just MR. BACKMAN: 18 said, we thought we were going to have an opportunity to brief 19 any disclosure beyond that. If we are not, then I believe we 20 will probably take a different position and not submit it. 21 THE COURT: By the way, don't bother asking to 22 participate by phone anymore. You have local counsel. And I 23 know it was on the if/come, but the previous time it wasn't on 24

the if/come. The previous time was a matter of courtesy.

25

Those days are done.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BACKMAN: I understand. I'm happy --

THE COURT: Anything you want to say to me you are going to say to me in person. Don't bother my courtroom deputy with any requests to participate by phone. If you think that there's going to be weather, then get in the car and drive or get your local counsel, who is an extremely well qualified person, Mr. Hudson, up to speed so that he can deal with it. Do you understand?

MR. BACKMAN: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: I want by tomorrow -- it's to be submitted with something with the case caption on it, but it's to be submitted in camera and not filed to me, okay, a joint report regarding the settlement negotiations from beginning to This is the thing I referred to as the blow by blow. And by tomorrow, I don't mean -- I mean by 4:15 tomorrow. That's when I need it by. You don't have to agree on it. Like I say, if you can't agree on what happened, that's great. Then I don't have to sort out who is right and who is wrong. If you can't, here is the plaintiffs' position on what happened, here is the defendants' position on what happened, and then I will decide after I then reread for probably what is the fourth or fifth time the fee petition because now that there's been this delay, I am going to have to go back and refresh my memory about that, whether this is something that I should take into consideration. If I decide that it is, I am

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probably going to give you two days after that to file something explaining why Mr. Bandas or his client or both shouldn't get to see it. Clear enough? MR. BACKMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: But there's not going to be then another round of briefing on its relevance. You know what? A lawyer once told me paper doesn't refuse ink. Okay? You can include anything you want. can give me your brackets for the NCAA for all I care. Do that as an appendix. But there's not going more rounds of briefing after that. I might have you come in and argue the significance of this stuff at some point, but I got plenty of briefs on this. In other words -- in other words, if we get all the way to that point and I conclude that it's something that I ought to take into account, I may, you know, say, okay, on such-and-such a date, I want you to come in and argue to me exactly how this fits into everything, but that's going to be the extent of it. Does anybody have any questions about anything? is a speak now or forever hold your peace moment.

This

MR. BACKMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you, your Honor.

(Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause on the day and date aforesaid.)